UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,202	10/30/2003	Michael J. Tsecouras	TI-35523	2202	
23494 7590 10/17/2007 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			EXAMINER		
			CORRIELUS, JEAN B		
DALLAS, 1X 73203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2611		
,			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	10/17/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

f		σ_H	_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Commence	10/697,202	TSECOURAS, MICHAEL J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e. cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed CHS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	September 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.	•	
 Since this application is in condition for allowa 		•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 7,12 and 19 is/are pending in the app	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,12 and 19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2007 is/		objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	plication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	, , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	-	

Application/Control Number: 10/697,202 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings were received on 9/19/07. These drawings are not acceptable because it is not in accordance with MPEP 714(D) which requires that each amended drawing sheet be labeled as "replacement sheet".
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the drawing quality is poor and therefore needs to be resubmitted. In addition, each label "figure" should be replaced by "FIG.". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: specification page 12, the last paragraph is misnumbered as "003".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 7, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 7 recites "characterizing the audio noise". However the specification as filed does not provide support for such limitation as claimed. The same comment applies to claims 12 and 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2611

7. Claims 7,12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shintani et al US Patent No. 6,490,001 in view of Miet US patent no. 6,487,529.

As per claim 7, Shintani discloses a method and apparatus figs. 1-3 comprising: a controller circuit 31; a user interface 32 connected to the controller circuit 31 that provides user input to the controller 31 which indicates the user's selection of an RF channel see col. 8, lines 29-32 and 50-57; a RF tuner 12 receiving signals in multiple channels and outputting an audio signal based on an RF signal in one of the multiple channels see col. 7, lines 15-16, col. 8, lines 50-57 and col. 9, lines 40-42; and a programmable filter 223 that receives a signal from the RF tuner 12 and filter program settings from the controller 31 and then filters the signal from the RF tuner 12 based on the filter program settings received from the controller 31; wherein the program settings for the programmable filter 223 determined by the controller depend on the RF channel selected by the user see fig. 3A. However, Shintani does not teach the program settings of the programmable filter are determined by characterizing the audio noise of the circuit in operation. Miet teaches program settings of the programmable filter 25 are determined by characterizing the audio noise of the circuit in operation see abstract. Given such a teaching, one skill in the art would have been motivated to determine the program settings of the programmable filter by characterizing the audio noise of the circuit in operation so that the filter enhance the frequency bands of the useful signal that correspond to the frequency bands of the noise signal having a higher energy than a predetermined values as taught by Miet see abstract, last 4 lines.

Art Unit: 2611

As per claim 12, see claim 7. In addition Shintani et al fails to teach that the filter is a programmable switched capacitor filter. However, it is well known in the art to implement a filter as a switched capacitor filter. Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to implement the digital filter as a programmable switched capacitor filter in order to take advantage of its enhanced technological features.

As per claim 19, see claim 7. In addition, Shintani teaches that the filter 223 is part of a DSP 22 see fig. 2.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is alleged that paragraph 017 and 024 provide support for the limitation "characterizing the audio noise". However it is noted such sections of the specification only teaches that the system performance is measured to determine filter characteristics to be used. In addition, applicant's argument with respect to claims 7, 12 and 19 are moot in view of the following new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/697,202

Art Unit: 2611

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611₁₀-12 -07

Page 6